

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/536,956	05/31/2005	Soo-Yeon Shin	0630-2328PUS1	0630-2328PUS1 1019	
2292	7590 04/17/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
BIRCH STE PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH &	WALBERG	WALBERG, TERESA J		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3753		

DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Summary		10/536,956	SHIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Teresa J. Walberg	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
•	•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5/31/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/536,956 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claim 11 of this application conflict with claim 8 of Application No. 10/536,958.

  37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.
- 2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claim 11 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 8 of copending Application No. 10/536,958. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 4. The information disclosure statement filed 31 May 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document;

Application/Control Number: 10/536,956 Page 3

**Art Unit: 3753** 

each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein with respect to the foreign patent documents has not been considered.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tran (5,228,515).

Tran discloses a heat exchanger (see abstract) including heat exchanging plates (24A and 24B) laminated at a predetermined interval for dividing two air flow paths(col. 3, lines 60-66), and a plurality of embossing protrusions (34 and 35 in Fig. 4) formed in each air path.

7. Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kull et al (5,931,219).

Kull et al disclose a heat exchanger (see abstract and Fig. 4) including heat exchanging plates (40 and 41) laminated at a predetermined interval for dividing two flow paths (col. 3, lines 60-66), a plurality of embossing protrusions (43 in Fig. 4) formed in one of the flow paths and a corrugation plate (44 in Fig. 4) secured in the other flow path, partitions (45 and 49) for preventing leakage

Application/Control Number: 10/536,956 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

between the flow paths, the flow paths being crossed with each other (Figs. 3 and 4).

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kull et al (5,931,219) in view of Simpelaar (2,959,400).

Kull et al disclose a heat exchanger as claimed with the exception of the protrusion being aligned with the spaces of the prior rows.

Simpelaar discloses heat exchanger surfaces having alternating protrusions. See Fig. 3.

It would have been obvious in view of Simpelaar to align the protrusions with the spaces in the prior rows in the heat exchanger of Kull et al, the motivation being to increase the turbulence of the flow for improved efficiency of heat transfer.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Trumpler, Dimitriou, Bertrand et al, and Shaw are cited to show turbulence increasing structures in heat exchangers.

Art Unit: 3753

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa J. Walberg whose telephone number is 571-272-4790. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Toresa Mallorg
Teresa J. Walberg
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753